

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/056,309	ABIDI ET AL.
	Examiner Phuoc H. Nguyen	Art Unit 2143

All Participants:

(1) Phuoc H. Nguyen. (3) _____.

(2) Daniel D. Ledesma Reg. No. 57,181. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: December 20, 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 13, and 25-33

Prior art documents discussed:

Kekic et al. U.S. Patent 6,664,978.


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed that the examiner's amendment, authorized by Daniel D. Ledesma, would place the application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)